	Case 2:23-cv-02383-DAD-DMC Docum	nent 6	Filed 01/30/25	Page 1 of 3		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	ORION DOUGLAS MEMMOTT,	N	o. 2:23-CV-2383-Г	DAD-DMC		
12	Plaintiff,					
13	v.	<u>O</u>	<u>RDER</u>			
14	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES	,				
15	LINCOLN COUNTY, et al.,					
16	Defendants.					
17						
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the					
19	Court is Plaintiff's first amended complaint, ECF No. 5.					
20	The Court is required to screen complaints brought by litigants who have been					
21	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Under this screening					
22	provision, the Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if it: (1) is frivolous or					
23	malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief					
24	from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B).					
25	Moreover, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(h)(3), this Court must dismiss an					
26	action if the court determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Because Plaintiff has been					
27	granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, the court will screen the complaint pursuant to §					
28	1915(e)(2). Pursuant to Rule 12(h)(3), the 0	Court wi	ll also consider as a	threshold matter whether	r	
		1				

Case 2:23-cv-02383-DAD-DMC Document 6 Filed 01/30/25 Page 2 of 3

it has subject-matter jurisdiction.

Plaintiff identifies thirty-three potential Defendants, including ten yet to be identified social workers and/or employees of the Lincoln County Department of Social Services in North Carolina ("LCDSS"). See ECF No. 5, pg. 2. According to Plaintiff, Defendant LCDSS determined no child protective services were necessary for minors Ryan and Faith Harris and closed the Child Protective Service investigation of Plaintiff. See id. at 7. Plaintiff contends that, despite that determination, six days later LCDSS employees took the minors from Plaintiff, moving them from California to North Carolina to place them into foster care without a custody order. See id. at 12-14.

The Court concludes that it has subject matter jurisdiction and thus, the complaint is appropriate for service by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs. If Plaintiff desires service of process by the United States Marshal without pre-payment of costs, Plaintiff must comply with the requirements outlined below. Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order, or otherwise effect service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, may result in dismissal of the action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Clerk of the Court shall issue a summons in a civil case, the undersigned's new case documents, and an order setting this matter for an initial scheduling conference;
- The Clerk of the Court shall send Plaintiff the summons, 33 USM-285 forms, and a copy of the first amended complaint;

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Case 2:23-cv-02383-DAD-DMC Document 6 Filed 01/30/25 Page 3 of 3

1	3. Within 15 days from the date of this order, Plaintiff shall complete the			
2	summons by indicating the addresses of the named Defendants and shall submit to the United			
3	States Marshal at the address indicated below the following documents:			
4	a. The completed summons;			
5	b. One completed USM-285 form for each named Defendant (total of 33 completed forms);			
6	c. 34 copies of the complaint; and			
7 8	d. 33 copies of the court's initial scheduling conference order issued herewith;			
9	4. Within 20 days of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall file a notice			
10	indicating that the documents described above have been submitted to the United States Marshal,			
11	or a notice that Plaintiff intends to serve the summons and complaint without assistance from the			
12	United States Marshal;			
13	5. If Plaintiff seeks the assistance of the United States Marshal, the United			
14	States Marshal is directed to serve all process without pre-payment of costs not later than 60 days			
15	from the date of this order, such service of process to be completed by serving a copy of the			
16	summons, complaint, and initial scheduling conference order on the Defendants at the addresses			
17	provided by Plaintiff; and			
18	6. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the			
19	United States Marshal at 501 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814.			
20				
21	Dated: January 30, 2025			
22	DENNIS M. COTA			
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
24				
25				
26				
2.7				